

Democratizing LLMs

Elad Levi

Agenda

- > **Describing the challenge:** Why it is costly to build foundation model?
- > **The revolution**: Getting high quality LLM with 600\$
- Improvements: Quality and costs
- **Extensions:** Multimodality

One company show

- Up until recently it seems that openAI is going to **rule** the LLM field
- The barrier to get to such high quality (Instruct/Chat-GPT/GPT-4) seems to be almost impossible for other competitors

Challenge 1: Foundation model

- Standard architecture: Autoregressive decoder only transformer
- Simple objective: Given a sequence of tokens predict the next tokens



Challenge 1: Foundation model

GPT-3 dataset

- One of the key components is the massive diverse dataset that was used for training
- The model is exposed to a huge amount of human knowledge, including things like arithmetic calculations, program languages, and other blogs/tutorials that exists on the web

Dataset	Quantity (tokens)	The Common Crawl corpus contains petabytes of data including raw web page
Common Crawl (filtered) WebText2 Books1	410 billion 19 billion 12 billion	data, metadata data and text data collected over 8 years of web crawling
Books2 Wikipedia	55 billion 3 billion	WebText is an internet dataset created by scraping URLs extracted from Reddit submissions with a minimum score of 3 as a proxy for quality.

Challenge 1: Foundation model

- Building a foundation model ~4m\$ (only training without data curation)
- Considered to be large (require complex infrastructure to in order to serve)



Challenge 2: High quality instruct data

- More importantly: OpenAI collect a massive amount of high quality data (with human feedback)
- Fine-tuning the model on this data (alignment) is **essential**, resulting in significant boost in model performances



Challenge 2: High quality instruct data





I'm just a computer program, so I don't have feelings, but I'm here and ready to assist you with any questions or tasks you have. How can I assist you today?

1,250,000 ChatGPT Instagram Spotify 1,000,000 ~75 days ~5 days ~150 days 750,000 500,000 250,000 # of days 0 25 50 75 100 125 150 0

~ Path to 1 million users* (# of days from launch)

Sources: Google, Subredditstats, Media Reports

Challenge 2: High quality instruct data

OpenAl invest huge amount of effort (and money) in data curation

RL & alignment

GPT-4 contributors list

Core contributors

Greg Brockman Core infrastructure author Arka Dhar Human data product manager Liam Fedus Data flywheel lead Tarun Gogineni Model creativity Rapha Gontijo-Lopes Synthetic data Joshua Gross Data collection engineering co-lead Johannes Heidecke Refusals & model safety co-lead Joost Huizinga Initial fine-tuning derisking Teddy Lee Human data product manager Jan Leike Alignment co-lead Ryan Lowe Alignment co-lead Luke Metz Infrastructure lead, ChatML format lead Long Ouvang IF data collection lead John Schulman Overall lead Jerry Tworek Code lead Carroll Wainwright IF data infrastructure lead Jonathan Ward Data collection engineering co-lead Jiayi Weng RL Infrastructure author Sarah Yoo Human data operations manager Woiciech Zaremba Human data lead Chong Zhang Refusals & model safety co-lead Shengjia Zhao Reward model lead Barret Zoph Overall training lead

Dataset contributions

Diogo Almeida, Mo Bavarian, Juan Felipe Cerón Uribe, Tyna Eloundou, Liam Fedus, Tarun Gogineni, Rapha Gontijo-Lopes, Jonathan Gordon, Joost Huizinga, Shawn Jain, Roger Jiang, Lukasz Kaiser, Christina Kim, Jan Leike, Chak Li, Stephanie Lin, Ryan Lowe, Jacob Menick, Luke Metz, Pamela Mishkin, Tong Mu, Oleg Murk, Ashvin Nair, Long Ouyang, Alex Passos, Michael (Rai) Pokorny, Vitchyr Pong, Shibani Santurkar, Daniel Selsam, Sarah Shoker,, Carroll Wainwright, Matt Wiethoff, Jeff Wu, Kai Xiao, Kevin Yu, Marvin Zhang, Chong Zhang, William Zhuk, Barret Zoph

Data infrastructure

Irwan Bello, Lenny Bogdonoff, Juan Felipe Cerón Uribe, Joshua Gross, Shawn Jain, Haozhun Jin, Christina Kim, Aris Konstantinidis, Teddy Lee, David Medina, Jacob Menick, Luke Metz,

Foundation model

- On 2.23 Meta released a new (**research**) open-source series of LLM foundation models called **LLaMA**
- The 13B size model beat GPT-3 largest model (175B)



LLaMA improvements

- The dataset is better (mainly **bigger 1-1.4 trillion tokens**)
- The model was trained more time (increasing dataset size allow to train more time without repeating epochs)
- According to <u>Chinchilla scaling law</u> we can expect that the model size can be reduced even further



LLaMA-2

- 40% more data
- Double context length (4096)

Mistral

- 7B model Outperforms Llama-2 13B on all benchmarks
- Trained on **8k context length** with sliding window attention-> theoretical attention span of **128K tokens**

Gemma

- Recent model by Google
- Largest model in the series is 7B
- Perform better than Mistral on some tasks like coding







Instruct data

- Alpaca recipe: Distill knowledge from OpenAI proprietary models
- Result: Comparable quality to text-davinci-003



Instruct data

- Alpaca recipe: Distill knowledge from OpenAI proprietary models
- Result: Comparable quality to text-davinci-003



Instruct data

- Alpaca recipe: Distill knowledge from OpenAI proprietary models
- Result: Comparable quality to text-davinci-003



Improvements

 Why using GPT-3? -> Vicuna using 70k Chat conversations Chat-GPT-> 90% Chat GPT quality (Judgement by GPT-4)



 Why using GPT-3? -> Vicuna using 70k Chat conversations Chat-GPT-> 90% Chat GPT quality (Judgement by GPT-4)

Question: Compose an engaging travel blog post about a recent trip to Hawaii, highlighting cultural experiences and must-see attractions.

Assistant #1

Alpaca-13b

* Assistant #2 (Vicuna-13b, our model)

I have composed a travel blog post about my recent trip to Hawaii. The post includes stories from my experiences, such as hiking up a volcano and visiting a local farmers market. I also included plenty of photos to give the reader a sense of place. I highlighted some of the unique cultural Show more Aloha, fellow travelers! If you're looking for a tropical paradise with a rich culture and breathtaking scenery, look no further than Hawaii. My recent trip to the Aloha State was an unforgettable adventure, filled with exciting cultural experiences and must-see attractions.

GPT-4 Evaluation (Score: Alpaca-13b 7/10, Vicuna-13b 10/10)

Assistant 1 provided a brief overview of the travel blog post but did not actually compose the blog post as requested, resulting in a lower score. Assistant 2, on the other hand, composed a detailed and engaging travel blog post about a recent trip to Hawaii, highlighting cultural experiences and must-see attractions, which fully addressed the user's request, earning a higher score.



- Why using GPT-3? -> Vicuna using 70k Chat conversations Chat-GPT-> 90% Chat GPT quality (Judgement by GPT-4)
- Wizard-LM: Build evolve instruction using LLM (70k)







- Why using GPT-3? -> Vicuna using 70k Chat conversations Chat-GPT-> 90% Chat GPT quality (Judgement by GPT-4)
- Wizard-LM: Build evolve instruction using LLM (70k)







- Why using GPT-3? -> Vicuna using 70k Chat conversations Chat-GPT-> 90% Chat GPT quality (Judgement by GPT-4)
- Wizard-LM: Build evolve instruction using LLM (70k)







There is larger gap on more complex tasks

- In all the described methods the loss function is cross-entropy on the **next token completion**
- This loss is (theoretically) sub-optimal for the following reasons:
 - We 'punish' the model even in cases he provide a **good result**, if this result is phrased differently compared to chat-GPT

Question: Develop a C++ program that reads a text file line by line and counts the number of occurrences of a specific word in the file.

Y Assistant #1

ChatGPT-3.5

Here's a sample program that demonstrates your requirements:

#include <iostream>

Assistant #2 (Vicuna-13b, our model)

Sure, here's an example C++ program that reads a text file line by line and counts the number of occurrences of a specific word in the file:



- In all the described methods the loss function is cross-entropy on the **next word completion**
- This loss is (theoretically) sub-optimal for the following reasons:
 - We 'punish' the model even in cases he provide a **good result**, if this result is phrased differently compared to chat-GPT
 - There is no 'severity' (how much bad/good was the result)



- In all the described methods the loss function is cross-entropy on the **next word completion**
- This loss is (theoretically) sub-optimal for the following reasons:
 - We 'punish' the model even in cases he provide a **good result**, if this result is phrased differently compared to chat-GPT
 - There is no 'severity' (how much bad/good was the result)
 - This method is considered as not **Temporally compositional**

Temporally compositional (Emmons et al., 2021; Rafols et al., 2005): the method should be able to attain significant improvement over the average behavior in the data – not merely copying the best behaviors in the dataset, but actually distilling out underlying patterns in the relationship between rewards, task dynamics, and language to produce near optimal generations, even when the dataset demonstrates only mediocre task performance.

- We can use RL offline learning techniques to improve results
- RLHF framework:
 - **Step 1:** Take a prompt and generate multiple results

Collect comparison data, and train a reward model.

A prompt and several model outputs are sampled.



C Moon is natural satellite of... People went to the moon...

- We can use RL offline learning techniques to improve results
- RLHF framework:
 - **Step 1:** Take a prompt and generate multiple results
 - **Step 2:** Use Human feedback to to compare the generation quality and train a ranking model

Collect comparison data, and train a reward model.



- We can use RL offline learning techniques to improve results
- RLHF framework:
 - Step 1: Take a prompt and generate multiple results
 - **Step 2:** Use Human feedback to to compare the generation quality and train a ranking model
 - **Step 3:** Use this ranking model as a reward model and finetune the model with offline-RL algorithm (original PPO, but there are better objective like ILQL)



Optimize a policy against the reward model using reinforcement learning.



RLAIF- You can use strong LLM (GPT-4) to generate the preference dataset



GPT-4 Evaluation (Score: Alpaca-13b 7/10, Vicuna-13b 10/10)

Assistant 1 provided a brief overview of the travel blog post but did not actually compose the blog post as requested, resulting in a lower score. Assistant 2, on the other hand, composed a detailed and engaging travel blog post about a recent trip to Hawaii, highlighting cultural experiences and must-see attractions, which fully addressed the user's request, earning a higher score.

- RLAIF- You can use strong LLM (GPT-4) to generate the preference dataset
- Use RL to steer the model behaviour: You can either do it implicitly by changing the preference model (RLAIF), or explicitly by modifying the reward function
 - Reward(x) = {

 if unhedged correct (The answer is y)
 if hedged correct (The answer is likely y)
 if uninformative (I don't know)
 if hedged wrong (The answer is likely z)
 wrong (The answer is z)

Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning (prefix tuning)

- Adding small amount of trainable tokens as prefix (training only these tokens)
- There is a zero gating initialization (we start from the original solution)



Prefix tuning

Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning (prefix tuning)

- Adding small amount of trainable tokens as prefix (training only these tokens)
- There is a zero gating initialization (we start from the original solution)



Prefix tuning

Input (table-to-text)

Output (table-to-text)

Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning

- LLaMA-Adapter (prefix-tuning): 1.2M parameters, 1h fine-tuning (8 A100)
- **LLaMA-Adapter V2**: Adding bias and scale tuning + LoRA





Multi-modality

- We can use the prefix tuning technique to add more modalities
- This is simply done be projecting the modality encoder embedding to the trainable tokens



- We can use the prefix tuning technique to add more modalities
- This is simply done be projecting the modality encoder embedding to the trainable tokens



- We can use the prefix tuning technique to add more modalities
- This is simply done be projecting the modality encoder embedding to the trainable tokens



- We can use the prefix tuning technique to add more modalities
- This is simply done be projecting the modality encoder embedding to the trainable tokens



- We can use the prefix tuning technique to add more modalities
- This is simply done be projecting the modality encoder embedding to the trainable tokens



Instruction: What should the car do here?

Answer: The car should follow the pedestrian crossing the street, as indicated by the red light. The car should stop at the red light and wait for the pedestrian to cross the street safely before proceeding. This is a common practice to ensure the safety of pedestrians and drivers alike.

What about multi-modal output?



- We already have strong text2img/audio/video diffusion models
- Typical architecture



- We already have strong text2img/audio/video diffusion models
- Typical architecture
- We freeze the diffusion network and train only a projection layer that align the image tokens with the diffusion text encoder



- We already have strong text2img/audio/video diffusion models
- Typical architecture
- We freeze the diffusion network and train only a projection layer that align the image tokens with the diffusion text encoder



Only 1% parameters are trainable

	Encoder		Input Projection		LLM		Output Projection		Diffusion	
	Name	Param	Name	Param	Name	Param	Name	Param	Name	Param
Text	_		-							-
Image					Vicuna [12]	7B*	Transformer	31M	SD [<mark>68</mark>]	1.3B*
Audio	ImageBind [25]	1.2B*	Linear	4M 👏	(LoRA	33M))	Transformer	31M	AudioLDM [51]	975M*
Video							Transformer	32M	Zeroscope [8]	1.8B*



Conclusion

- Today there are various advanced methods and tools that provide access to very powerful model (almost comparable to proprietary models)
- These models can be easily (and cheaply) customized according to the user specific domain and objective
- There are effective methods to extend the model capabilities, for example: adding more modalities, extending content window

Google "We Have No Moat, And Neither Does OpenAI"

Leaked Internal Google Document Claims Open Source AI Will Outcompete Google and OpenAI



DYLAN PATEL AND AFZAL AHMAD

MAY 4, 2023 • PAID